# Garland Independent School District Liberty Grove Elementary School 2023-2024 Goals/Performance Objectives/Strategies



# **Mission Statement**

Our Liberty Grove School Family inspires and encourages one another to achieve personal and academic excellence.

# Vision

Liberty Grove is a school community where all children feel loved, respected, and encouraged to develop to their fullest potential.

# **Table of Contents**

Goals 4

Goal 1: Garland ISD will ensure ALL students graduate prepared for college, careers, and life by increasing student performance measures, postsecondary readiness, and graduation rates and decreasing student management incidences.

# Goals

**Goal 1:** Garland ISD will ensure ALL students graduate prepared for college, careers, and life by increasing student performance measures, postsecondary readiness, and graduation rates and decreasing student management incidences.

**Performance Objective 1:** Percent of students in grades 3-5 demonstrating early literacy as measured by Meets Grade Level performance on STAAR Reading, will increase from 50% in 2023 to 60% in 2024.

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR spring administration testing data file (accountability subset)

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: The intervention specialist will conduct personalized small group instruction for specific learning targets and		Formative	Summati	
support individual student needs in Tier 2 and Tier 3 in math and reading.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in MAP & STAAR data  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators  TEA Priorities:  Build a foundation of reading and math	Nov 15%	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Teachers will facilitate Parent and Family Engagement Nights in order to engage in a read-aloud, small group		Formative Sumr		
reading comprehension instructional strategies, math problem solving and early numeral literacy.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in MAP & STAAR data Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, admin., ELST, IST  Funding Sources: Instructional supplies for parent materials to take home - 6300 Parent Involvement. Supplies T1 - \$2,451	15%			

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 3: Teachers will utilize strategic timing and planning for small group instruction in order to fill gaps with small	Formative			r to fill gaps with small Formative S	Summative
group instruction and personalized learning strategies for math and reading.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in MAP, STAAR, mClass, Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin., ELST, IST, classroom teacher  Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6  - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Student Learning 1, 2, 3 - School Processes & Programs 2, 3  Funding Sources: Subs for classroom support - 6100 Payroll- Title I Funds - \$10,224, Subs for classroom Support - 199 - PIC 24 State Comp Ed Funds - \$5,466, Materials & Supplies - 6300 Supplies and Materials- Title I Funds - \$3,857	Nov 45%	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	views		
Strategy 4: Teachers will effectively plan for reading, math, and science instruction in whole group and small groups to meet campus and district goals.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase MAP and STAAR growth and percentages  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin, ELST, IST  Title I:  2.4, 2.5, 2.6  - TEA Priorities:	Nov 50%	Feb Feb	Apr	Summative June	
Build a foundation of reading and math  Funding Sources: Instructional Software - Flocabulary - Nearpod - 6300 Supplies and Materials- Title I Funds - \$3,590, Lead4Ward STAAR Review Conference - 6200 Contracted Services/Registration- Title I Fun - \$2,550  No Progress  One No Progress	X Discon	tinue			

## **Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:**

## **Student Learning**

**Problem Statement 1**: Our economically disadvantaged students are consistently not making projected growth targets in both Math and Reading. **Root Cause**: Lack of differentiation in math and reading instruction and a lack of utilizing the learning continuum during instruction

#### **Student Learning**

**Problem Statement 2**: K, 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 5th did not meet their expected growth targets from BOY to MOY in Math. **Root Cause**: Lack of differentiation in math and reading instruction and a lack of utilizing the learning continuum during instruction

**Problem Statement 3**: K, 1st, 3rd, and 5th did not meet their expected growth targets from BOY to MOY in Reading. **Root Cause**: Lack of differentiation in math and reading instruction and a lack of utilizing the learning continuum during instruction

#### **School Processes & Programs**

**Problem Statement 2**: Our 2nd graders did not reach their projected growth in reading on MAP. **Root Cause**: Lack of personalized learning instruction using mClass and MAP data

**Problem Statement 3**: Our ELL students are consistently not making projected growth targets in both Math and Reading. **Root Cause**: Lack of sheltered instruction and differentiation in math and reading instruction and a lack of utilizing the learning continuum during instruction.

**Performance Objective 2:** The percent of EL students demonstrating English language acquisition, as measured by earning yearly progress indicator on the Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS), will increase to 76% by 2025.

Evaluation Data Sources: TELPAS spring administration testing data file (only students with progress measure; accountability subset)

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Teachers will provide Sheltered Instruction through a differentiated classroom that is focused (specifically		Formative	ative Summ	
emphasizing English language development), targeted (aligned to EL's proficiency levels), and systematic (scaffolding instruction to meet progress) in developing English language skills.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Result/Impact Increase in MAP scores, increase in STAAR scores, increase in TELPAS scores, see Sheltered Instructional strategies in the lesson plans and classroom instruction  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin., ELST, IST  TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - Targeted Support Strategy Funding Sources: Supplies and materials for bilingual and ESL classrooms - 199 - PIC 25 Bil./ESL State Allotment Funds - \$1,717	Nov 25%	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: EB students in need of intervention will receive personalized small group instruction to build background		Formative		Summative
knowledge, vocabulary, and comprehension.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: MAP scores, Mclass scores, STAAR scores, TELPAS scores Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin, ELST, IST, Interventionist, classroom teacher, EB para  TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction	Nov 40%	Feb	Apr	June

Strategy 3 Details				
Strategy 3: Teachers will provide students with targeted language acquisition instruction to ensure EL students demonstrate	Formative			Summative
one year's growth in their language development while incorporating FlipGrid into instruction weekly and practicing for FELPAS using headsets with microphones.	Nov	Feb	Feb Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: TELPAS, STAAR Reading, STAAR Math	1004			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin, ELST, Interventionist, classroom teacher, EB Para	10%			
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
<b>Problem Statements:</b> Student Learning 1, 3 - School Processes & Programs 3				
<b>Funding Sources:</b> Headphones with microphones - 6300 Supplies and Materials- Title I Funds - \$2,854.40				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		
100 1 10gless Tecomplished Continue, Would	Discon	tilluc		

#### **Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:**

#### **Student Learning**

**Problem Statement 1**: Our economically disadvantaged students are consistently not making projected growth targets in both Math and Reading. **Root Cause**: Lack of differentiation in math and reading instruction and a lack of utilizing the learning continuum during instruction

**Problem Statement 3**: K, 1st, 3rd, and 5th did not meet their expected growth targets from BOY to MOY in Reading. **Root Cause**: Lack of differentiation in math and reading instruction and a lack of utilizing the learning continuum during instruction

## **School Processes & Programs**

**Problem Statement 3**: Our ELL students are consistently not making projected growth targets in both Math and Reading. **Root Cause**: Lack of sheltered instruction and differentiation in math and reading instruction and a lack of utilizing the learning continuum during instruction.

**Performance Objective 3:** Percent of students in grade 5 demonstrating scientific understanding as measured by Meets Grade Level performance on STAAR Science, will increase from 22% in 2023 to 50% by 2024.

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR spring administration testing data file (accountability subset)

Reviews				
	Formative		Summative	
Nov	Feb	Apr	June	
45%				
X Discont	tinue			
	45%	Formative Nov Feb	Formative Nov Feb Apr  45%	

**Performance Objective 4:** The percentage of grades 3, 4, and 5 students demonstrating mathematical proficiency, as measured by Meets Grade Level performance on STAAR Math will increase from 42% in 2023 to 55% in 2024.

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR spring administration testing data file (first-time testers only; accountability subset)

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Teachers will empower students to track their learning progress by first setting goals and then charting progress	ng progress by first setting goals and then charting progress Formative Sumn	Summative		
on specific data-driven skills.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will empower students to track their learning progress by first setting goals and then charting progress on specific skills, MAP scores, etc.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Increase in STAAR and MAP scores  Title I: 2.4, 2.6 - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments	50%			
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 2: Teachers will use pictorial, concrete, and abstract mathematical instructional strategies (including manipulatives		Formative		
and hands-on activities) during whole group, small group, stations, tutoring, and independent instruction.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in STAAR and MAP scores  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators, ELST, IST	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Title I: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Funding Sources: Mathmark Elementary Bundle - Lead4ward - 6300 Supplies and Materials- Title I Funds - \$850				

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
<b>Strategy 3:</b> Teachers will facilitate Parent and Family Nights in order to engage in a read-aloud, small group reading comprehension instructional strategies, math problem solving and early numeral literacy.		Formative		Summative
		Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improve MAP & STAAR scores			1	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin, ELST, IST, teachers	10%			
TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

**Performance Objective 5:** Percent of student management incidents resulting in exclusionary consequences [i.e., In School Suspension (ISS), Out of School Suspension (OSS), and Reassignment Rooms] will decrease from 12% in 2022 to 10% in 2023 to reach 5% by 2025.

Evaluation Data Sources: Review360 Incident Summary Report - total # of exclusionary consequences out of total # of consequences

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Teachers will use school wide Positive Behavior Intervention Strategies with a focus on using frequent,	Formative			Summative
purposeful, and positive feedback.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: A decrease in exclusionary consequences.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators & counselor	30%		-	
Strategy 2 Details		'		
Strategy 2: Teachers will implement a cool-down spot in their classrooms as well as a school-wide reset room option for an	Formative			Summative
area to descelate.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: A decrease in exclusionary consequences.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: A decrease in exclusionary consequences.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin, counselor, other support staff	35%			
TEA Priorities:				
Improve low-performing schools				
<b>Funding Sources:</b> Materials and supplies for PBIS - 199 - PIC 23 SPED State Allotment Funds - \$2,148				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	•
Strategy 3: Develop jointly with, and distribute to parents, a written PFE policy that describes how the school will inform		Formative		Summative
parents of the school's participation in the Title I, Part A program, and strategies that the school will use to build the capacity of parents to support campus academic goals. (To meet the needs of diverse languages of our parents, families and	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
community members, additional language translation of the policy will be made available at no cost.)				
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Build a stronger relationship with parents and give clear expectations to students in order to decrease discipline referrals.	100%	100%	100%	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin, counselor, teachers.				
TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools				

Strategy 4 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 4: Develop jointly with, and distribute to parents, a school-parent compact, which will describe the shared	Formative			Summative
responsibility for learning among staff, families and students. To meet the needs of diverse languages of our parents, families and community members, additional language translation of the compact will be made available at no cost.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
(Families will have an opportunity to review the compact and provide feedback during parent-teacher conferences).				
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Build a stronger relationship with parents and clear expectations for students in order to decrease discipline referrals.	100%	100%	100%	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin, counselor, teachers.				
TEA Priorities:				
Improve low-performing schools				
Strategy 5 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 5: Support school readiness for incoming PK/K through School transition meetings for families of incoming		Summative		
students. Provide information to parents on PreK/K readiness	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Build a stronger relationship with parents and provide a clear expectation for students in order to decrease discipline referrals.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin, counselor, teachers.	70%			
TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools				
Strategy 6 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 6: Support transition to middle school for 5th graders moving to 6th grade by holding Transition meetings for		Formative		Summative
families in collaboration with feeder schools to provide information on graduation plans, and college and career readiness.	Nov	Feb	Apr	June
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Build a stronger relationship with parents and provide a clear expectation for students in order to decrease discipline referrals.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin, counselor, teachers.	15%			
TEA Priorities:				
Improve low-performing schools				

Nov	Formative		Summative
Nov			Jummanve
Nov Feb	Feb	Apr	June
60%			
<b>K</b> Disconti	inue		
×		Discontinue	

## **Performance Objective 5 Problem Statements:**

### Perceptions

**Problem Statement 1**: Considering all open-ended responses in the Liberty Grove Family Engagement Survey, most parents stated that they did not have any issues with communication from the school/teachers, however, there were a few responses stating parents would like to be made aware of their students progress both behaviorally and academically on a more frequent basis. **Root Cause**: Staff members involved not organized to prepare in a more timely fashion.